TEMPLE CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION DISTRICT OFFICE BOARDROOM 9700 LAS TUNAS DRIVE, TEMPLE CITY, CALIFORNIA

Approved Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of August 17, 2016

Mr. Bell called the Regular Meeting to order at 6:15 p.m.

Call to Order

<u>Board Members Present</u>

Vinson Bell, President Lawrence A. Marston, Vice President Kenneth Knollenberg, Member John Pomeroy, Member Roll Call

Board Members Absent

Jessica Huang, Student Board Member

<u>Administrators Present</u>

Kathy Perini, Superintendent Art Cunha, Assistant Superintendent, Personnel Services Kate Franceschini, Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services Marianne Sarrail, Chief Business Official

<u>Administrators Absent</u>

None

There were no public comments.

Mr. Bell announced tonight's meeting is being audio recorded.

Mr. Pomeroy led the flag salute.

Mr. Bell announced there was no action taken at closed session.

There were no special recognitions or presentations this evening.

Public Comments

Recording of Meeting

Flag Salute

Action Taken in Closed Session

Special Recognition and Introduction

Public Comments

There were two public comments:

- Mr. Louis Lemoine commented on the La Rosa Elementary School traffic situation particularly on Kennerly Street. Due to all of the traffic at the school, residents are unable to get in and out of their driveways. He also commented that the lock at the La Rosa Elementary gate remains unlocked and cars are able to cut through creating another traffic issue. He believes these issues are also safety concerns.
- Mr. Joe Walker, a retired Board member, commented on the importance of the role of a Board member. He stated that the Board should choose their next Board member carefully because the Board makes impactful decisions for the District. He listed criteria he thought best represented the ideal Board member.

Marianne Sarrail

Nothing to report this evening.

Business Services Update

Kate Franceschini

- The Adult School, partnered with PCC, is going to begin hosting ELD classes beginning August 29th twice a week on Mondays and Wednesdays. Anyone interested can pick up a brochure at the Learning Center.
- The public release of the District's California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) is on August 24th.

Educational Services Update

Art Cunha

Nothing to report this evening.

Personnel Services Update

Ms. Deb Maurey, TCEA

 Looking forward to school starting. Happy to be back and is thankful for Temple City schools. Believes Temple City Unified is a top tier school District. Grateful to the current Board and all the improvements around the District. Would like to schedule a meeting with the Board in the near future. Bargaining Unit Comments

Jessica Huang

Student Board member was absent this evening.

Student Board Member Comments

Mr. Knollenberg

- Congratulated the Temple City National All-Star Baseball Team for winning the State Championship
- Thanked the Relay for Life committee. Donations are being accepted through the end of August
- The Temple City Sheriff's Department will host an Open House on Saturday, September 10.
- Recent Neighborhood Watch meeting at La Rosa brought up concerns regarding the lack of air conditioning when using the cafeteria, need adequate climate control
- The Temple City Schools Foundation is on track for 2016-2017. Given away \$140,000 so far
- Thanked Oversight Committee for doing a good job reviewing the Bond
- Thanked and welcomed all returning and new staff to a new school year

Board Member Comments

Mr. Pomeroy

- Excited for beginning of school year and for the new leadership at the high school
- Wished everyone luck for the new school year

 Will be unable to attend some daytime events due to schedule change

Mr. Marston

- Congratulated the All-Star Baseball Team on their championship win
- Welcomed new students, teachers, and staff
- Mentioned that everyone should be aware of their surroundings and pay attention at cross-walks. Safety is a priority.

Mr. Bell

- Excited for school to start
- Welcomed everyone back to a fresh new start

Kathy Perini

- On August 18th, the District will host the 2nd annual tour around the City for the new staff members and have lunch at The Hat
- Monday, August 22nd, is the Back-to-School Breakfast at Live Oak Park. A motivational speaker (through our partnership with Discovery Communications) will be at the Breakfast. The District will follow up with the BBQ on Tuesday, August 23rd at TCHS.

Superintendent's Comments

There were no written communications.

Written
Communications

Mark Epstein and Tarana Alam from CFS presented the Measure S Bond Issuance Update.

Measure S Bond Issuance Update

General Information

- The District does not receive its \$128.8 Million Full Bond Authorization Upfront the initial plan included 5 Series sold over 12 Years;
- The plan was adversely impacted by AB182 Reform;
- The Amount of each Series is Based on the Ability to REASONABLY PROJECT both that the Tax Rate will not Exceed \$60.00 per \$100,000 (Actual tax rate pledged was \$58.80) and that the Bond proceeds can be substantially Expended within 36 months;
- The TAX RATE Projection is an ESTIMATE the Actual Tax Rate will be the Minimum Rate Necessary to Pay ACTUAL Debt Service. Actual Tax Rates will be Different Every Year.

Bond Scenarios

DOTTO GEOGRAPIOS		
Scenario	Description of Scenarios Examined	
2015 Continue	with 2015 Planned Issuance of \$22 Million. No CABs.	
	2016 Issuance to \$24 Million. No Slowing of Future Additional is. No CABs.	
- issuance	S. NO CADS.	

2	Maximum 2016 Issuance of \$30 Million. Long-Term Deferral (Next Issuance 2026) of Additional Issuances. No CABs.
3	Maximum 2016 Issuance of \$34.5 Million. Long-Term Deferral (Next Issuance 2026) of Additional Issuances with CABs.
4	Refunding of 2013 Bonds. Maximum Issuance of \$31.5 Million. Long Term Deferral (Next Issuance 2026) of Additional Issuances. No CABs.
5	Refunding of 2013 Bonds. Maximum Issuance \$37.2 Million. Long Term Deferral (Next Issuance 2026) of Additional Issuances with CABs.

Refunding

- A "Refunding" in the Tax-Exempt Bond Market is the Term for the Refinancing of Existing Higher Interest Rate GO Bonds Funded by Selling Lower Interest Rate GO Bonds to New Investors to:
- Advance Refund Debt by Providing Funds to Purchase Escrow Securities that Will
 Make All Future Payments to the Old Investors -- including "Buying Back"
 Refunded Bonds on the First Possible Prepayment Date (August 1, 2023);
- Current Refund Bonds by Providing Funds to "Buy Back" and Retire Outstanding Bonds within 90 days of the Refunding Bond Closing; and
- Provide Funds to Pay for ALL Costs of Issuance, Interest Owed on the 2013 Bonds
 Through the Escrow and Accrued Interest on the Refinancing Bonds.

Refunding Savings are Calculated Based on the Difference in Debt Service Payments Each Bond Year Comparing the Refunding and Refunded Bond Repayment Schedules, as follows:

- Costs of Issuance (including bond insurance), Prepayment Premiums (if any), Escrow Purchase Costs and All Related Expenses are Included and Amortized in the Principal/Premium Component of the Refunding Bonds;
- Savings are Calculated Annually on a Bond Year Basis and Cumulatively on both a Gross Dollar Basis and a Present Value Basis that Converts Gross Savings to Amounts Stated in 2016 Dollars; and
- On a Maturity-by-Maturity Basis to Ensure that Each Individual Refunded Bond is Individually Augmenting Savings.

<u>Preliminary Projection of GO Bond Sizing for Scenario 1: Increase 2016</u> Issuance from \$18 Million to \$24 Million

ADVANTAGES	CONSIDERATIONS
 Additional \$6 Million in 2016 for Work at More Schools Compared to 2014 Plan of \$18MM 	Significant Remaining Exposure to Interest Rate Risk
2. No CABs Required	2. Larger Number of Smaller Issuances Impact Transaction Costs and Staff Time
3. Locks-in Rate in Favorable Market Rates on Larger Amount of Bonds	

- 4. Reduces Impact of Construction **Escalation**
- 5. Improves Efficiency of Managing Projects
- 6. Limits Future Disruptions to Campuses

AV Growth Assumptions:

Interest Rate Assumptions:

2017 to 2018 = 4.00%

.37% to 6.48%

2021 & After = 4.50%

2013 Bond = 2016 Bond =

TIC of 3.70%

Other Assumptions-Results

Tax Rate = \$58.80 per \$100,000

Debt Service Ratio: 2.14 to 1

Initial CIBs:

\$24,000,000

Initial CABs:

Med. SFH AV =

\$654,800

Avg. SFH Tax =

\$444

2016 Final Maturity = 33 years

Preliminary Projection of GO Bond Sizing for Scenario 5: Initial Series of \$37.2 Million in Fall 2016

MIIIOTTIT FOIL 2016	
ADVANTAGES	CONSIDERATIONS
Additional \$19.2 Million in 2016 for Work at More Schools	1. Additional CABs in 2026, 2031 and 2036
2. Produces More PV of Projects than Scenario 1	2. No Future Issuances Until 2026
3. Reduces Number of Bond Issuances and Costs	3. \$3.4 million More in Bond Program Payments
3. Locks-in Rate in Favorable Market Interest Rates on More Bonds	4. Potential Need for an Additional Bond to Complete Additional Projects Given Long Delay in Issuing Series C Bonds
4. Reduces Impact of Construction Escalation	
5. Improves Efficiency of Managing Projects by Compressing Timeframe	
6. Limits Future Disruptions to Campuses	

Escalation Assumptions:

Interest Rate Assumptions:

2017 to 2018 = 4.00%

2013 Bond = .37% to 6.48%

2021 & After = 4.50%

TIC of 3.71%

2016 Bond =

Other Assumptions-Results

Tax Rate = \$58.80 per \$100,000

Debt Service Ratio: 2.05 to 1

Initial CIBs: \$31,145,000

Initial CABs: \$5,996,601

Med. SFH AV = \$654,800

Avg. SFH Tax = \$444

2016 Final Maturity = 31 years

The preceding analysis was prepared based on certain assumptions, forecasts and projections that are provided in this presentation. Only a registered municipal advisor should be consulted regarding the findings and estimates related to the sale of bonds. The District is advised that performance of the bond program is based on the existence of comparable conditions and timing as described herein and that such information involves projections and assumptions that should not be guaranteed or relied upon for any purpose other than general planning.

Many of the assumptions are noted in the body of the presentation. The most significant assumptions are:

- 1. The Bonds will be sold no sooner than October 2016;
- 2. Interest rate estimates and projections provided by Raymond James on August 4, 2016
- 3. Up to 95% of projected tax revenue (thru 2049) may be necessary to sell the 2016 bonds;
- 4. The interest rate matrix assumes that every year's rate rises by the increase factor;
- 5. AV Growth occurs as predicted and that underperformance of AV does not occur primarily in the initial ten years following each bond sale;
- 6. Costs of Issuance are funded from bond proceeds or bond premium;
- 7. Deposits to the County debt service account are funded from bond premium; and
- 8. The District maintains or improves its current ratings on its general obligation bonds.

Member Knollenberg requested a detailed bar graph and questioned how close the District Bonds were to exceeding 2.5% of our assessed value.

On motion of Member Bell, seconded by Member Knollenberg, and on a 4-0 vote (Aye: Bell, Knollenberg, Marston, Pomeroy), the Board interviewed and considered candidates for the provisional appointment of a new Board member to the Temple City Unified School District Board of Education. The interview order was determined by random drawing.

- 1. Donna Georgino
- 2. Dan Augustyn
- 3. Munir Bhatti
- 4. George Goold
- 5. Gina Aparicio

Interview and Consideration of Candidates for Appointment to the Temple City Unified School District Board of Education

6. Dennis McNulty

Each candidate was asked the same four questions, in the same order. Candidates had 10 minutes to answer the four questions. After the interviews concluded, each Board member was asked to state and briefly explain a preference of one or more candidates. After each Board member stated their preference(s), the Board members made motions for their preferred candidate.

On motion of Member Marston, seconded by Member Knollenberg, and on a 2-2 vote (Aye: Bell, Marston; Nay: Knollenberg, Pomeroy), the Board did not appoint George Goold to fill the provisional vacancy on the Board of Education. The motion did not pass.

Appointed George Goold to Fill Provisional Vacancy on the Board of Education

On motion of Member Knollenberg, seconded by Member Pomeroy, and on a 2-2 vote (Aye: Knollenberg, Pomeroy; Nay: Bell, Marston), the Board did not appoint Munir Bhatin to fill the provisional vacancy on the Board of Education. The motion did not pass.

On motion of Member Knollenberg, seconded by Member Marston, and on a 3-0-1 vote (Aye: Bell, Marston, Knollenberg; Nay: None; Abstain: Pomeroy), the Board appointed George Goold to fill the provisional vacancy on the Board of Education by majority vote.

Board President Bell administered the Oath of Office to Mr. George Goold and Mr. Goold was sworn in as the provisional Board member effective August 17, 2016.

On motion of Member Pomeroy, seconded by Member Knollenberg, and on a 5-0 vote (Aye: Bell, Goold, Knollenberg, Marston, Pomeroy), the Board approved the Educator Effectiveness Block Grant.

Approved Educator Effectiveness Block Grant

The 2015 Budget Act included one-time money that is referred to as the" Educator Effectiveness Block Grant." Funds are to be used for professional development, coaching, and support services as outlined in Section 58 of Assembly Bill (AB) 104 (Chapter 13/Statutes of 2015) and amended by Section 8 of Senate Bill (SB) 103 (Chapter 324/Statutes of 2015). As a condition of receiving the Educator Effectiveness Block Grant funds, the District, is required to:

Develop and adopt a plan delineating how the Educator Effectiveness Block Grant funds will be spent. The plan must be explained in a public meeting of the governing board of the school district (July 27, 2016), followed by an adoption at a subsequent Board of Education meeting.

Member Marston would like to see feedback on how effective this grant is.

He would like the teachers to share their experiences about how this grant has helped them.

On motion of Member Bell, seconded by Member Marston, and on a 5-0 vote (Aye: Bell, Goold, Knollenberg, Marston, Pomeroy), the Board approved the Job Description for Work Experience Coordinator.

Approved Job Description for Work Experience Coordinator

Dr. Marietti explained the role of the Work Experience Coordinator: The Department of Rehabilitation (DOR) is required under the Federal Workforce Innovation and Opportunities Act (WIOA) to provide high school students, aged 16-21 with all types of disabilities, Pre-employment Transition Services. These services promote movement from school to post school activities focusing on work-based learning experiences.

As part of a grant received from the Department of Rehabilitation, Temple City Unified School District staff and resources will be combined to provide these opportunities for students. This grant is intended to offset the cost incurred by the district to provide these services. The grant is for a period of two years. At the conclusion of the grant, it is at the district's discretion to maintain the Work Experience Program.

In order to provide the best possible service to our students, Temple City Unified School District will provide a work experience coordinator to oversee the Temple City Unified School District (TCUSD) Workability program. This individual will provide an array of services as outlined in the Workability grant to special education students grades 9-12, as well as to adult transition students aged 18-21. This individual will assist in placing students in a workability subsidized paid employment opportunity. Student progress will be monitored during this process. The coordinator will provide progress reports and consultation to the Special Education Director and Secondary Program Specialist in the area of special education transition.

Duties of this individual will include, but not be limited to the following:

- 1. Maintain a job bank for student/(DOR) client in work experiences.
- 2. Network with local employers to keep current with local employment trends and training opportunities
- 3. Develop jobs/employer partnerships based upon student interests/skills
- 4. Place student/DOR clients in Work Experiences
- 5. Monitor and evaluate the student/DOR clients in the Work Experiences
- 6. Provide monthly progress reports to referring DOR counselor his position will be funded through a Department of Rehabilitation, We Can Work grant. The annual salary for this position is not to exceed \$18,500. This is a 12-month position with a 29.5 hour work week. The need to maintain this position will be evaluated at the end of the two-year grant cycle.

Member Marston would like to see feedback regarding the success of this

program, he would like to see tangible results.

On motion of Member Bell, seconded by Member Marston, and on a 4-0-1 vote (Aye: Bell, Knollenberg, Marston, Pomeroy; Nay: None; Abstain: Goold), the Board received revised and new Board Policies for second reading and approval with the exception to BP 6179 which will require an amendment:

Received for Second Reading and Approved Revised BP's

- Revised BP 1230, School Connected Organizations
- Revised BP 4158, 4258, 4358 Employee Security
- Revised BP 6179, Supplemental Instruction
- Revised BP 6190, Evaluation of the Instructional Program
- Revised BP 6200, Adult Education
- Revised BB 9222, Resignation

On motion of Member Bell, seconded by Member Pomeroy, and on a 4-0-1 vote (Aye: Bell, Knollenberg, Marston, Pomeroy; Nay: None; Abstain: Goold), the Board approved the following Consent Agenda items:

Approved Consent Agenda

- Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Education of
- July 27, 2016
- Payroll Documents, Warrants, Contracts, and Purchase Orders
- Classified Personnel Order 1617-02

On motion of Member Pomeroy, seconded by Member Bell, and on a 5-0 vote (Aye: Bell, Goold, Knollenberg, Marston, Pomeroy), the Board approved Certificated Personnel Order 1617-02.

Approved Certificated Personnel Order 1617-02

New Board Member Goold thanked the Board for his appointment.

Board Member Comments Adjournment

On motion of Member Knollenberg, seconded by Member Goold, and on a 5-0 vote (Aye: Bell, Goold, Knollenberg, Marston, Pomeroy), the meeting was adjourned 9±9:22 p.m.

tinson Bell, President of the Board of Education

Future Board of Education Meetings

Future Board Meetings

September 14 and 28, 2016 October 19, 2016 November 16, 2016 December 7, 2016 (Annual Organizational and Regular Meetings) January 11 and 25, 2017 February 8 and 22, 2017 March 8 and 22, 2017 April 19, 2017 May 10 and 24, 2017 June 14 and 28, 2017